

Contents

Heritage Grant Application - Point-Based Evaluation Form	3
BUILDING FACADE EVALUATION (Total: 100 Points)	4
1. Heritage Authenticity (40 Points	4
1.1. Design Consistency with Historical Period (15 points	4
1.2. Materials Appropriateness (15 points	4
1.3. Architectural Details & Features (10 points	4
2. Material Quality and Durability (30 Points	5
2.1. Material Specifications (15 points	5
2.2. Construction Methods (10 points	5
2.3. Maintenance Considerations (5 points	5
3. Streetscape Visibility and Impact (20 Points	5
3.1. Public Visibility (10 points	6
3.2. Contribution to District Character (10 points	6
Streetscape Impact Subtotal: / 20	6
4. Compliance with Local Bylaws (10 Points	6
4.1. Building Code Compliance (5 points	6
4.2. Zoning and Heritage Bylaw Compliance (5 points	6
SIGNAGE EVALUATION (Total: 100 Points)	7
1. Heritage Design Appropriateness (35 Points	8
1.1. Historical Design Compatibility (15 points	8
1.2. Typography and Graphics (10 points)	8
1.3. Scale and Proportions (10 points)	8
Heritage Design Appropriateness Subtotal:/ 35	8
2. Materials and Construction Quality (25 Points	9
2.1. Material Selection (15 points)	9
2.2. Construction and Installation Method (10 points)	9
Materials and Construction Subtotal: / 25	9
3. Visibility and Business Impact (25 Points	9

3.1.	Legibility and Visibility (15 points	9
3.2.	Business Function and Effectiveness (10 points)	10
4. By	law and Code Compliance (15 Points	10
4.1.	Sign Bylaw Compliance (8 points	10
4.2.	Heritage District Guidelines (7 points)	10
Signa	age Compliance Subtotal: / 15	11
Final So	coring	11
Building	g Facade Applications	11
Signage	Applications	12
Approv	al Thresholds	12
Additic	onal Comments	12
Reco	mmendations	13
Build	ing Facade Recommendation	13
Signa	age Recommendation	14

Heritage Grant Application - Point-Based Evaluation Form

Property Address:
Contact Name:
Application Date:
Application Type: [] Building Facade [] Signage Only [] Combined Application
Approved [] Not Approved []
Grant Amount Approved
File Number:
Evaluators:
Date of Review:

BUILDING FACADE EVALUATION (Total: 100 Points)

Complete this section for facade improvement applications

- 1. Heritage Authenticity (40 Points) This section evaluates how well the proposed improvements align with the historical character and architectural integrity of the building and district.
- 1.1. **Design Consistency with Historical Period (15 points)** Assesses whether the proposed design reflects the original architectural style and period-appropriate elements
- [] Excellent: Design fully reflects original/period architecture (13-15 points)
- [] Good: Design mostly consistent with historical character (10-12 points)
- [] Fair: Some heritage design elements present (7-9 points)
- [] Poor: Limited heritage design consideration (0-6 points)
- 1.2. Materials Appropriateness (15 points) Evaluates the selection of materials based on historical accuracy and compatibility with heritage buildings
- [] Excellent: All materials are period-appropriate/traditional (13-15 points)
- [] Good: Most materials are heritage-compatible (10-12 points)
- [] Fair: Some appropriate materials used (7-9 points)
- [] Poor: Few or no appropriate materials (0-6 points)
- 1.3. Architectural Details & Features (10 points) Reviews the attention to decorative elements, proportions, and architectural features that define the building's character
- [] Excellent: Restores/enhances original architectural details (9-10 points)
- [] Good: Maintains existing heritage features (7-8 points)
- [] Fair: Some attention to architectural details (5-6 points)
- [] Poor: Little consideration for heritage details (0-4 points)

Heritage Aut	henticity	Subtotal:	:/	40
--------------	-----------	-----------	----	----

2.	Material Quality and Durability (30 Points) This section assesses the long-term value and sustainability of the proposed materials and construction methods.
	2.1. Material Specifications (15 points) Evaluates the quality, durability, and appropriateness of specified materials for heritage building restoration
	• [] Excellent: High-quality, long-lasting materials specified (13-15 points)
	• [] Good: Quality materials with good longevity (10-12 points)
	• [] Fair: Adequate material quality (7-9 points)
	• [] Poor: Low-quality or inappropriate materials (0-6 points)
	2.2. Construction Methods (10 points) <i>Reviews the proposed installation techniques and craftsmanship standards for heritage-appropriate construction</i>
	• [] Excellent: Professional installation methods specified (9-10 points)
	• [] Good: Appropriate construction techniques (7-8 points)
	• [] Fair: Basic construction methods (5-6 points)
	• [] Poor: Inadequate construction planning (0-4 points)
	2.3. Maintenance Considerations (5 points) Assesses the long-term care requirements and sustainability of the proposed improvements
	• [] Excellent: Low-maintenance, sustainable approach (5 points)
	• [] Good: Reasonable maintenance requirements (3-4 points)
	• [] Fair: Moderate maintenance needs (2 points)
	• [] Poor: High maintenance or unclear plan (0-1 points)
	Material Quality Subtotal:/ 30

3. Streetscape Visibility and Impact (20 Points) This section measures the public benefit and visual impact of the proposed improvements on the heritage district.

3.1	Public Visibility (10 points) Evaluates how visible and accessible the improvements are to the general public and heritage district visitors
•	[] Excellent: Highly visible from main streets/public areas (9-10 points)
•	[] Good: Good visibility from public areas (7-8 points)
•	[] Fair: Moderate public visibility (5-6 points)
•	[] Poor: Limited or no public visibility (0-4 points)
3.2	2. Contribution to District Character (10 points) Assesses how the improvements will enhance or complement the overall heritage district's visual cohesion and character
•	[] Excellent: Significantly enhances heritage district character (9-10 points)
•	[] Good: Positive contribution to streetscape (7-8 points)
•	[] Fair: Neutral impact on district character (5-6 points)
•	[] Poor: Minimal or negative impact (0-4 points)
	Streetscape Impact Subtotal:/ 20
	ompliance with Local Bylaws (10 Points) This section ensures all proposed ork meets legal requirements and municipal standards.
4.1	I. Building Code Compliance (5 points) Reviews adherence to current building codes, safety standards, and structural requirements
•	[] Excellent: Full compliance demonstrated (5 points)
•	[] Good: Compliance likely with minor adjustments (3-4 points)
•	[] Fair: Some compliance issues to resolve (2 points)
	[] Poor: Significant compliance concerns (0-1 points)

4.

bylaws

Evaluates conformity with municipal zoning regulations and heritage district

4.2. Zoning and Heritage Bylaw Compliance (5 points)

	Compliance Subtotal:/ 10
•	[] Poor: Major bylaw conflicts (0-1 points)
•	[] Fair: Some bylaw issues to address (2 points)
•	[] Good: Compliance with minor considerations (3-4 points)
•	[] Excellent. Full bylaw compliance (5 points)

SIGNAGE EVALUATION (Total: 100 Points)

Complete this section for signage improvement applications

1.	Heritage Design Appropriateness (35 Points) This section evaluates how well
	the proposed signage complements the heritage character of the building and
	district.

- 1.1. Historical Design Compatibility (15 points) Assesses whether the sign design, style, and proportions are appropriate for the building's historical period and architectural style
- [] Excellent: Sign design perfectly matches historical period and building character (13-15 points)
- [] Good: Sign design is highly compatible with heritage context (10-12 points)
- [] Fair: Sign design shows some heritage consideration (7-9 points)
- [] Poor: Sign design inappropriate for heritage setting (0-6 points)
- 1.2. **Typography and Graphics (10 points)** *Evaluates the appropriateness of fonts, lettering styles, logos, and graphic elements for heritage context*
- [] Excellent: Typography and graphics are period-appropriate and high quality (9-10 points)
- [] Good: Typography mostly appropriate with good visual quality (7-8 points)
- [] Fair: Acceptable typography with some heritage consideration (5-6 points)
- [] Poor: Inappropriate or poor-quality typography/graphics (0-4 points)
- 1.3. Scale and Proportions (10 points) Reviews whether the sign size and proportions are appropriate for the building facade and heritage district standards
- [] Excellent: Perfect scale and proportion for building and district (9-10 points)
- [] Good: Well-proportioned sign appropriate for context (7-8 points)
- [] Fair: Acceptable proportions with minor concerns (5-6 points)
- [] Poor: Poor proportions or inappropriate scale (0-4 points)

Heritage Design Appropriateness Subtotal: _____ / 35

- 2. Materials and Construction Quality (25 Points) This section assesses the durability, craftsmanship, and appropriateness of signage materials and construction methods.
 - 2.1. **Material Selection (15 points)** *Evaluates the choice of materials for heritage appropriateness, weather resistance, and visual quality*
 - [] Excellent: Premium heritage-appropriate materials (wood, metal, hand-painted) (13-15 points)
 - [] Good: Quality materials suitable for heritage context (10-12 points)
 - [] Fair: Adequate materials with some heritage consideration (7-9 points)
 - [] Poor: Inappropriate or low-quality materials (0-6 points)
 - 2.2. Construction and Installation Method (10 points) Reviews the proposed mounting, fabrication, and installation techniques for quality and building preservation
 - [] Excellent: Professional fabrication with building-sensitive installation (9-10 points)
 - [] Good: Quality construction with appropriate installation (7-8 points)
 - [] Fair: Adequate construction and installation methods (5-6 points)
 - [] Poor: Poor construction planning or potentially damaging installation (0-4 points)

Materials and Construction Subtotal:	/ 25
--------------------------------------	------

- 3. Visibility and Business Impact (25 Points) This section measures the effectiveness of the signage for business purposes while maintaining heritage character.
 - 3.1. **Legibility and Visibility (15 points)** Assesses how clearly the sign can be read by pedestrians and vehicles while maintaining heritage appropriateness

- [] Excellent: Highly legible from appropriate distances with heritageappropriate visibility (13-15 points) • [] Good: Good legibility with minor visibility considerations (10-12 points) • [] Fair: Adequate legibility with some visibility limitations (7-9 points) • [] Poor: Poor legibility or visibility issues (0-6 points) 3.2. Business Function and Effectiveness (10 points) Evaluates whether the sign effectively serves its business purpose while respecting heritage guidelines • [] Excellent: Sign effectively serves business needs within heritage parameters (9-10 points) • [] Good: Good business function with heritage consideration (7-8 points) • [] Fair: Adequate business function (5-6 points) • [] Poor: Poor business effectiveness or heritage conflicts (0-4 points) Visibility and Business Impact Subtotal: _____ / 25 4. Bylaw and Code Compliance (15 Points) This section ensures the proposed signage meets all legal and regulatory requirements. 4.1. Sign Bylaw Compliance (8 points) Reviews conformity with municipal sign bylaws including size restrictions, placement rules, and permit requirements • [] Excellent: Full compliance with all sign bylaws (8 points) • [] Good: Compliance with minor permit considerations (6-7 points) [] Fair: Some bylaw issues requiring resolution (4-5 points) • [] Poor: Significant bylaw violations (0-3 points)
 - 4.2. Heritage District Guidelines (7 points) Evaluates adherence to specific heritage district signage guidelines and design standards
 - [] Excellent: Exceeds heritage district signage standards (7 points)
 - [] Good: Meets heritage district guidelines (5-6 points)

	Signage Compliance Subtotal: /15
•	
•	[] Poor: Does not meet heritage district standards (0-2 points)
•	[] Fair: Mostly compliant with heritage guidelines (3-4 points)

Final Scoring

Building Facade Applications

Category	Points Awarded	Maximum Points
1. Heritage Authenticity		40
2. Material Quality & Durability		30
3. Streetscape Visibility & Impact		20
4. Compliance with Local Bylaws		10

Category	Points Awarded	Maximum Points
FACADE TOTAL SCORE		100

Signage Applications

Category	Points Awarded	Maximum Points
1. Heritage Design Appropriateness		35
2. Materials and Construction Quality		25
3. Visibility and Business Impact		25
4. Bylaw and Code Compliance		15
SIGNAGE TOTAL SCORE		100

Approval Thresholds

- Approved (80-100 points): Application meets high standards across all criteria
- Conditionally Approved (65-79 points): Application approved pending minor modifications
- Requires Revision (50-64 points): Application needs significant improvements before approval
- Not Approved (0-49 points): Application does not meet minimum grant standards

Additional Comments		
Strengths:		
Areas for Improvement:		

Conditions of Approval (if applicable):		
Recommendations		
Building Facade Recommendatio		
[] Approve [] Conditionally Approve		
Evaluator Signature:	Date:	
Evaluator Signature:	Date:	
Evaluator Signature:	Date:	

Signage Recommendation	
[] Approve [] Conditionally Approve	[] Requires Revision [] Not Approved
Evaluator Signature:	Date:
Evaluator Signature:	Date:
Evaluator Signature:	Date: